1	Tom Chen (CA SBN 184843) HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP		
2	18200 Von Karman, Suite 725		
3	Irvine, CA 92612 Tel: 949.752.7040		
3	Fax: 949.752.7049		
4	Email: tom.chen@ haynesboone.com		
5	Clark S. Stone (CA SBN 202123) Jason M. Gonder (CA SBN 257522)		
6	HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP		
7	2033 Gateway Place, Suite 400 San Jose, CA 95110 Tel: 408.392.9250		
8	Tel: 408.392.9250 Fax: 408.392.9262		
9	Email: clark.stone@haynesboone.com		
	jason.gonder@ haynesboone.com		
10	Werner A. Powers (admitted pro hac vice) R. Thaddeus Behrens (CA SBN 196322)		
11	HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP		
12	2323 Victory Ave, Suite 700 Dallas, TX 75219		
13	Tel: 214.651.5000 Fax: 214.200.0672		
14	E-mail: werner.powers@haynesboone.com thad.behrens@haynesboone.com		
15	, and the second		
16	Attorneys for Defendants HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMEN	гір	
	HIGHLAND CRUSADER OFFSHORE F	·	
17	HIGHLAND CREDIT STRATEGIES MA		
18	NEXBANK, SSB	,	
19	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
20			
21	MICHAEL R. DANZI, an individual,	Case No. SA CV 09-0039 CJC	
22	Plaintiff,	(RNBx)	
23	·	DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT L.P.'S	
	V.	CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S	
24	HIGHLAND CAPITAL	COMPLAINT	
25	MANAGEMENT, L.P., a Delaware		
26	limited partnership; HIGHLAND CRUSADER OFFSHORE		
27	PARTNERS, L.P., a Bermuda limited		
	partnership; HIGHLAND CREDIT		
28	STRATEGIES MASTER FUND, L.P.,		
	DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.'S	SA CV 09-0039 CJC (RNBx)	

DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

SA CV 09-0039 CJC (RNBx)

1	a Bermuda limited partnership;
2	NEXBANK, SSB, a Texas chartered savings bank,
3	Defendants.
4	NEXBANK, SSB, a Texas chartered
5	savings bank,
6	Counterclaimant,
7	
8	V.
9	MICHAEL R. DANZI, an individual,
10	Counterdefendant.
11	
12	HIGHLAND CRUSADER
13	OFFSHORE PARTNERS, L.P., a
14	Bermuda limited partnership; HIGHLAND CREDIT STRATEGIES
15 16	MASTER FUND, L.P., a Bermuda limited partnership,
17	Counterclaimants,
18	
19	V.
20	MICHAEL R. DANZI, an individual,
21	Counterdefendant.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT SA CV 09-0039 CJC (RNBx)

Defendant Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("Highland Capital" or "Defendant") files this its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, and would show as follows:

ANSWER

I.

ANSWERING SECTION TITLED "THE PARTIES"

- 1. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in paragraph 1 and, therefore, denies the allegations.
- 2. Defendant admits that it is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware; admits that its principal place of business is in Dallas, Texas; otherwise denied.
- 3. Defendant admits that Highland Crusader Offshore Partners, L.P. ("Crusader") is a Bermuda limited partnership; admits that Crusader's principal place of business is in Dallas, Texas; otherwise denied.
- 4. Defendant admits that Highland Credit Strategies Master Fund, L.P. ("Credit Strategies") is a Bermuda limited partnership; admits that Credit Strategies' principal place of business is in Dallas, Texas; otherwise denied.
- 5. Defendant admits that NexBank, SSB ("NexBank") is a savings bank chartered under the laws of the State of Texas; admits that NexBank's principal place of business is in Dallas, Texas; otherwise denied.
- 6. No response is required to the allegations in paragraph 6, which purport to state conclusions of law. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 6.

ANSWERING SECTION TITLED "JURISDICTION AND VENUE"

7. Paragraph 7 asserts legal conclusions; therefore, Defendant is not required to admit or deny. To the extent Defendant is required to respond,

- Defendant admits the citizenship of Defendants as stated, and denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 7.
- 8. Paragraph 8 asserts legal conclusions; therefore, Defendant is not required to admit or deny. To the extent Defendant is required to respond, Defendant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 8.

ANSWERING SECTION TITLED "GENERAL ALLEGATIONS"

- 9. Defendant admits that Crusader, Credit Strategies, and Plaintiff entered into a Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement ("Operating Agreement") of Legacy Pharmaceuticals International, LLC ("Legacy LLC") for the purpose of forming Legacy LLC; admits that Legacy Pharmaceuticals International, LLC owns a variety of subsidiary companies, including Legacy Pharmaceuticals U.S., Inc; admits that Legacy Pharmaceuticals U.S., Inc. maintains an office in Orange County, California; and denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 9.
- 10. Defendant admits that Legacy LLC was formed in connection with the acquisition of the businesses and assets of manufacturing plants owned by Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc.; admits that the acquisition was consummated through Legacy LLC's wholly-owned subsidiaries, Legacy Pharmaceuticals International GmbH ("Legacy GmbH") and Solco Pharmaceuticals Switzerland GmbH ("Solco GmbH"); and denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 10.
- 11. Defendant admits that Crusader, Credit Strategies, and Plaintiff are Members of Legacy LLC; states that Article I and Article II of Legacy LLC's Operating Agreement reflect such; and denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 11.
- 12. Defendant admits that Crusader and Credit Strategies entered into the Legacy LLC Operating Agreement with Plaintiff; respectfully refers Plaintiff and the Court to the Operating Agreement for a full and complete recitation of its terms; and denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 12.

- Defendant admits that on or about June 22, 2007, a Credit Agreement ("Credit Agreement") was entered into between (1) Legacy GmbH, as borrower, (2) Legacy LLC, as one of several guarantors, (3) The Foothill Group, Inc., as a Lender 3 (together with other lenders who might be parties to the Credit Agreement from time 4 to time, the "Lenders"), (4) Highland Financial Corp., as lead arranger of the 5 Lenders, and (5) NexBank, as administrative agent for the Lenders; respectfully 6 refers the Plaintiff to the Credit Agreement for a full and complete recitation of its 7 terms; and denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 13. 8
 - 14. Defendant admits that the Credit Agreement was entered into by and between the parties identified in paragraph 13; respectfully refers the Plaintiff to the Credit Agreement for a full and complete recitation of its terms; and denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 14.
 - 15. Denied.
 - 16. Denied.
 - 17. Defendant admits that Plaintiff sent correspondence to representatives of Crusader and Credit Strategies on September 7, 2008; respectfully refers the Plaintiff to that e-mail for a full and complete recitation of its contents; and denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 17.
 - 18. Denied.
 - Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 19, and on that basis, the allegations are denied.
 - 20. Denied.
 - 21. Denied.
 - 22. Denied.
 - 23. Defendant admits that NexBank sent an e-mail to a representative of Legacy LLC on October 24, 2008; respectfully refers Plaintiff to the e-mail for a full

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	and compl	ete recitation of its contents; and denies the remaining allegations in	
2	paragraph 23.		
3	24.	Denied.	
4	25.	Defendant admits that on November 21, 2008, NexBank sent a letter to	
5	representat	ives of Legacy LLC; respectfully refers Plaintiff to the letter itself for a	
6	complete	and accurate recitation of its contents; and denies the remaining	
7	allegations in paragraph 25.		
8	26.	Denied.	
9	ANSWERING SECTION TITLED "FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF"		
10	(Breach of	Fiduciary Duty Against Defendants Crusader and Credit Strategies)	
11	27.	Defendant repeats and realleges its foregoing responses to the foregoing	
12	allegations herein as if fully set forth at length.		
13	28.	Denied.	
14	29.	Denied.	
15	30.	Denied.	
16	31.	Denied.	
17	32.	Denied.	
18	33.	Denied.	
19	ANSV	VERING SECTION TITLED "SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF"	
20		(Aiding And Abetting Breach of Fiduciary Duty	
21		Against Defendant Highland Capital)	
22	34.	Defendant repeats and realleges its foregoing responses to the foregoing	
23	allegations	herein as if fully set forth at length.	
24	35.	Denied.	
25	36.	Denied.	
26	37.	Denied.	
27	38.	Denied.	
28			
	i		

1	39. Denied.		
2	ANSWERING SECTION TITLED "THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF"		
3	(Aiding and Abetting Breach of Fiduciary Duty		
4	Against Defendant NexBank)		
5	40. Defendant repeats and realleges its foregoing responses to the foregoing	ng	
6	allegations herein as if fully set forth at length.		
7	41. Denied.		
8	42. Denied.		
9	43. Denied.		
10	44. Denied.		
11	45. Denied.		
12	<u>DEFENSES</u>		
13	Defendant denies each and every allegation in Plaintiff's Complaint no		
14	expressly admitted herein. Defendant alleges the following defenses with respect to		
15	the claims alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint without assuming the burden of proc		
16	where the burden of proof rests on Plaintiff:		
17	<u>First Defense</u>		
18	The Complaint fails to state a claim for which relief can be granted.		
19	Second Defense		
20	The Court lacks or may lack personal jurisdiction over these defendants.		
21	<u>Third Defense</u>		
22	Venue does not properly lie in this Court.		
23	<u>Fourth Defense</u>		
24	Plaintiff's claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of		
25	comparable fault.		
26			
27			
28			
	DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT L.P.'S SA CV 09-0039 CIC (RN	By)	

1	<u>Fifth Defense</u>	
2	Plaintiff's claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, by Plaintiff's	
3	failure to mitigate damages, if any.	
4	Sixth Defense	
5	Plaintiff has no standing to bring this action because his claims are derivative	
6	in nature.	
7	Seventh Defense	
8	Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of waiver, estoppel and/or	
9	ratification.	
10	Eighth Defense	
11	Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.	
12	<u>Ninth Defense</u>	
13	Plaintiff's claimed injuries or damages, if any, were not caused, enhanced, or	
14	increased as a result of any act or failure to act on the part of Defendant.	
15	Tenth Defense	
16	Defendant reserves the right to amend this Answer to specifically plead	
17	any additional matters constituting an avoidance or affirmative defense which	
18	discovery in this matter may later show to be applicable.	
19		
20	<u>PRAYER</u>	
21	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment as follows:	
22	A. That Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that	
23	Plaintiff take nothing thereby;	
24	B. That Defendant be awarded its costs and attorney's fees incurred in	
25	defending this action; and	
26	C. That Defendant receives such other and further relief as the Court may	
27	deem just and proper under the circumstances.	
28		

1		
2	Re	espectfully submitted,
3	DATED: March 17, 2009 Ha	aynes and Boone, LLP
4	Ву	/s/ R. Thaddeus Behrens R. Thaddeus Behrens
5		
6		Attorneys for DEFENDANTS HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,
7		L.P., HIGHLAND CRUSADER OFFSHORE
8		PARTNERS, L.P., HIGHLAND CREDIT STRATEGIES MASTER FUND, L.P., AND
9		NEXBANK, SSB
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.F	2.'S SA CV 09-0039 CJC (RNBx)